

Air Pollutants and its Effects on Animal Health, Production and Reproduction

Mamta¹, Deep Narayan Singh², Rajneesh Sirohi³, Ajay Kumar⁴,
Yajuvendra Singh⁵, Manisha Tyagi⁶

How to cite this article:

Mamta, Deep Narayan Singh, Rajneesh Sirohi, *et al.*/Air Pollutants and its Effects on Animal Health, Production and Reproduction/Indian Journal of Agriculture Business 2022;8(2):61-66.

Abstract

Air pollution refers to any physical, chemical or biological changes in air quality i.e. contamination of air by harmful gases, dust and smoke which affects plants, animals and humans drastically. Air pollution is one of the major problems which affect the animal's health, production and reproduction parameters throughout the world. Air pollutant may be an anthropogenic, biogenic or geogenic source that is either not part of the natural atmosphere or is present in higher concentrations than in the natural atmosphere and can have harmful effects in the short or long term on the living creatures. Mostly inhalation triggers the health problems in animals as well as in human being also, but occasionally deposition of particulate matters from industrial exhaust on pasture land may also affect health directly or indirectly. Pollutants may enter the animal's body system by inhalation or ingestion mechanism and affects mostly the respiratory and cardiovascular system. Particulate matter (dust) and Bio aerosols are also responsible for reduced growth and increased morbidity and mortality from respiratory disease and abscesses in animals and human being. The rapid industrialization, urbanization, unplanned and excessive exploitation of natural resources has been causing pollution problems in cities and towns of developing countries.

Keyword: Aerosol; Anthropogenic; Pollutants; ppm; VOCS.

INTRODUCTION

The earth's atmosphere consists of a mixture of gases. Some gases have a nearly constant concentration, while others are variable in both time and space. The most important gases are nitrogen

(78.1%), oxygen (20.9%), argon (0.92%) and water vapour (variable 0.004 to 4%). The concentration of some other atmospheric gases is listed in Table 1 (Buitjes, 2003).

Table 1: Chemical Compositions of Air

Gases	Symbol	Concentration (ppm)
Neon	Ne	18.2
Helium	He	5.2
Krypton	Kr	1.14
Xenon	Xe	0.09
Carbon dioxide	CO	280.0
Methane	CH ₄	0.750
Nitrous oxide	N ₂ O	0.270

~~~~~  
**Author's Affiliation:** <sup>1,5</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>6</sup>MVSc Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, DUVASU, Mathura 281001, Uttar Pradesh, India.

**Coresponding Author:** Deep Narayan Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, DUVASU, Mathura 281001, Uttar Pradesh, India.

E-mail: drdeep25@gmail.com

Received on: 02.12.2022

Accepted on: 21.12.2022



Air pollution occurs when the air contains gases, dust, vapours or odours in harmful quantities, that can be harmful to the health or well being of humans, and animals or that can damage plants and living creatures leads alteration in nutritive values of plants (Singh *et al.*, 2017). The substances that cause air pollution are called pollutants. Air pollutant can be defined as any substance emitted into the air from an anthropogenic, biogenic or geogenic source that is either not part of the natural atmosphere or is present in higher concentrations than in the natural atmosphere and can have harmful effects in the short or long term.

General air pollutants of anthropogenic origin present in the atmosphere have similar adverse effects on human and animal health, especially on the respiratory and cardiovascular systems. Air pollution has a significant impact on the health of agricultural workers and affects their job satisfaction (Boivin *et al.*, 2003). The impact of air pollution on human health is well understood and there is need of awareness about this. However, the effects of air pollution on animals, which are not yet recognised as sentient beings, are neglected.

The earliest reports associated with air pollution and livestock can be traced back to the 1930 by Almqvist *et al.* (1934) in the United States to establish a link between air quality and animal health. Innes, 1936 established the relationship between the incidence of coughing and dust levels in pigs. Further reports on effect of air pollutants on animals viz. effects of dust on calves (White, 1940), a case of H<sub>2</sub>S gas poisoning in a cow (Coghlin, 1944) and a report on the death of chickens from silogas (Peterson *et al.*, 1949).

Air pollutants in general can be classified as primary or secondary type.

## PRIMARY POLLUTANTS

Substances emitted directly from sources into the atmosphere. The most important primary pollutants known to cause damage in sufficiently high concentrations are the followings:

- Carbon compounds, such as CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and VOCs (volatile organic compounds).
- Nitrogen compounds, such as NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>
- Sulphur compounds, such as H<sub>2</sub>S and SO<sub>2</sub>
- Halogen compounds, such as chlorides, fluorides and bromides
- Particulate matter (PM or "aerosols"), either

in solid or liquid form, which is usually classified into these groups on the basis of the aerodynamic diameter of the particles:

| Particle Size (µm) | Specification | Remarks                                                                        |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11-100 µm          | Inhalable     | Easily enter into the nose and mouth                                           |
| 5-10 µm            | Thoracic      | Easily penetrate deep into the airways                                         |
| 2.6-4 µm           | Respirable    | Small enough to pass completely through the airways and enter the bloodstream. |
| 0.2-2.5 µm         | Fine          | Greatest risk to health.                                                       |
| <0.1 µm            | Ultra fine    | Penetrate tissue and absorbed directly into the bloodstream                    |

## Secondary pollutants

These are not emitted directly from sources, but are formed in the atmosphere from primary pollutants (also called "precursors"). The main secondary pollutants known to cause damage in sufficiently high concentrations are the following:

- NO<sub>2</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>, formed from NO.
- Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), formed by photochemical reactions of nitrogen oxides and VOCs (volatile organic compounds).
- Sulphuric acid droplets formed from SO<sub>2</sub> and nitric acid droplets formed from NO.
- Sulphate and nitrate aerosols
- Organic aerosols formed from VOCs in gas-to-particle reactions.

**Sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>):** This compound is colourless but has a pungent, choking smell. The main source of SO<sub>2</sub> is the burning of fuels containing sulphur (such as oil and coal). Exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> can irritate lung tissues and damage to the lung parenchyma. It also irritates the eyes, nose and throat. As part of acid rain, this rain acidifies lakes and rivers, destroys the life of plants and fish in lakes and rivers, removes mineral nutrients from soils, reduces forest and agricultural yields, and corrodes metals and damages building surfaces.

**Nitrogen oxides (NO and NO<sub>2</sub>):** NO<sub>2</sub> is a reddish brown gas with a pungent odour. The main source of this gas is motor traffic and is involved in the formation of ozone in the troposphere. High concentrations can cloud vision and increase the risk of acute and chronic respiratory diseases. Nitrogen dioxide is a serious air pollutant and can cause pulmonary oedema (excessive accumulation of fluid in the lungs). It can also produce

photochemical smog.

**Carbon monoxide (CO)** - This colourless, odourless gas is produced by the incomplete combustion of fuels. Therefore, motor vehicles are currently the largest source of CO. Carbon monoxide is the most common fatal poisoning in many countries around the world. Exposure to carbon monoxide can be life threatening, causing poisoning of the central nervous system and heart, serious effects on the baby in pregnant women, headaches and dizziness and problems with oxygen supply to parts of the body.

**Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCS)** - Volatile Organic Compounds are defined as organic compounds that readily evaporate into the atmosphere. VOCs include a wide range of organic air pollutants, from pure hydrocarbons to partially oxidised hydrocarbons and organic compounds containing chlorine, sulphur or nitrogen. Some aromatic compounds such as benzene, toluene and xylene are potentially carcinogenic and can cause leukaemia. As promoters of ozone formation, VOCs can cause respiratory and other ozone-related problems. They may indirectly contribute to the problem. (Kesselmeier, *et al.* 2000)

## COMMON AIR POLLUTANTS AROUND LIVESTOCK AND EFFECTS IN ANIMAL HEALTH

The effects of air pollutants and emissions on animal health have been conducted on various livestock farms. There are various air pollutants which affects the animal health.

### **Ammonia**

Elevated ammonia levels leads to decrease in growth (Charles and Payne, 1966), feed consumption (Lee *et al.*, 2005), health condition (Veit *et al.*, 1985), and immune response (Wei *et al.*, 2015). The higher concentration of atmospheric ammonia primarily acts as an irritant to the ocular and respiratory mucosa, affecting the course of infectious diseases by playing as a chronic stressor and reducing the growth of healthy young animals (Lillie, 1972; Curtis, 1983). Drummond *et al.*, (1980) observed a 12% reduction in weight gain in young pigs which were exposed to 50 ppm air ammonia, but no respiratory lesions were observed. There is positive correlation between levels of ammonia in the air of the farm and incidences of arthritis, porcine stress syndrome lesions, and abscesses (Donham, 1991). There are five main mechanisms protecting

the lung from foreign materials invasion which are cellular and humoral immunity, mucociliary transport, macrophage function, cough reflex and nasopharyngeal filtration. Out of these defence mechanisms, mucociliary transport and alveolar macrophage functions are the one which are most affected by ammonia and hydrogen sulphide air concentration (Lillie and Thompson, 1972). In poultry, ammonia is considered the most harmful gas in broiler houses (Carlile, 1984). An ammonia concentration of 50 ppm in the air over a prolonged period irritates the respiratory tract and predisposes chickens to respiratory infections with the additional risk of secondary infections and the development of ocular kerato-conjunctivitis at an ammonia concentration of 60 ppm in the air (Hauser, 1988).

### **Hydrogen Sulphide**

Hydrogen sulphide is a potentially lethal gas produced by anaerobic bacterial decomposition of proteins and other sulphur containing organic matter. Large amounts of suddenly released H<sub>2</sub>S during stirring or pumping of stored manure have resulted in animal deaths (Hoff *et al.*, 2006). This colourless gas with the distinctive smell of rotten eggs is heavier than air and can accumulate in slurry pits, holding tanks and other low areas in a facility. Hydrogen sulphide is a serious air pollutant, with concentrations generally highest in pig barns incompared to poultry, dairy farms have higher concentrations of hydrogen sulphide.

### **Particulates or Dust**

Fine particulate matter (PM), or simply dust, is a complex mixture of extremely small particles and liquid droplets in the air (USEPA, 2010). Fine particulate matter comes from two main sources: animals themselves (*e.g.* skin, hair and feathers) and animal feed (Shen *et al.*, 2019). Animal barns are an important source of particulate emissions. Particulate matter concentrations in stables are high and are influenced by the type of housing and feeding, animal species and environmental factors. They are primarily caused by the increasing activity of the animals (Maghirang *et al.*, 1997). Dust concentrations are usually higher in poultry houses than in those of other livestock species. Pig barns usually have higher dust concentrations than cattle barns. Dried faeces are heavily contaminated with microbes and microbial by products. Animals and workers in nursery and farrowing pens would be exposed to greater concentrations of faecal dust than in fattening farms where feed dust predominates

(Donham, 2000).

### Bioaerosols and Endotoxins

Air quality, as defined by ventilation parameters, influences aerosol dispersion of potential viral and bacterial pathogens that colonise over the epithelium of the respiratory tract. The concentration of endotoxin in the atmosphere of housing facilities is of greater importance for animal health.

Endotoxin is a phospholipid-polysaccharide macromolecule that forms the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria. It is released when the integrity of the cell wall is disrupted. A typical range for endotoxin in the atmosphere of a closed building is 150-1000 units. The maximum concentration of exotoxin for pig health has been reported to be about 150 units. Endotoxin is a potent pro-inflammatory substance and is thought to play an important role in respiratory disease in people working in animal farms.

### CONCLUSION

The common air pollutants present in atmosphere have similar adverse effects on human and animal health. Scientific studies on the effects of air pollutants on the health and productivity livestock, indicate ammonia and hydrogen sulphide are two important inorganic gases that affect the respiratory system in various ways and many more other health effects including arthritis. Particulate matter (dust) and Bio aerosols are associated with reduced growth and increased morbidity and mortality from respiratory disease and abscesses. Thus these associated adverse effects needed to be addressed in context of animal health as well as the labourers involved in livestock farming.

### REFERENCES

1. Almquist, H.J., Givens, J.W. and Klose, A., 1934. Transmission of light by egg albumen. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*, 26(8), pp.847-848.
2. Boivin, X., Lensink, J., Tallet, C. and Veissier, I., 2003. Stockmanship and farm animal welfare. *Animal Welfare-Potters Bar Then Wheathampstead-*, 12(4), pp.479-492.
3. Bultjes, P., 2003. The Problem - Air Pollution. Chapter 1 of *Air Quality Modeling - Theories, Methodologies, Computational Techniques, and Available Databases and Software*. Vol I - Fundamentals (P. Zannetti, Editor). EnviroComp Institute (<http://www.envirocomp.org/>) and Air & Waste Management Association
4. Carlile F.S. 1984. Ammonia in poultry houses: a literature review. *World Poultry Science* 40:99 - 113.
5. Carson T.L., 1998. Toxic Gases in Current Veterinary Therapy: Food Animal Practice 4. W B Saunders. p. 247-249.
6. Charles, D.R., Payne, C.G., 1966. The influence of graded levels of atmospheric ammonia on chickens. I. Effects on respiration and on the performance of boilers and replacement growing stock. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 7 (3), 177-187.
7. Coghlin, C.L., 1944. Hydrogen sulphide poisoning in cattle. *Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine and Veterinary Science*, 8(4), p.111.
8. Curtis S.E, Anderson CR, Simon J, Jensen AH, Day DL, Kelley KW., 1975. Effects of aerial ammonia, hydrogen sulfide and swine-house dust on rate of gain and respiratory tract structure in swine. *J AnimSci* 41(3):735 - 739.
9. Curtis S.E., 1983. *Environmental Management in Animal Agriculture*. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. p. 266 - 268.
10. Donham K.J., 1991. Association of environmental air contaminants with disease and productivity in swine. *Am J Vet Res* 52:1723-1730
11. Donham K.J., 2000. The Concentration of Swine Production. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*. 16:559-597.
12. Drummond J.G, Curtis S.E, Meyer R.C, Simon J, Norton H.W.. 1981a. Effects of atmospheric ammonia on young pigs experimentally infected with *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. *Am J Vet Res* 42(6):963 - 968.
13. Drummond J.G, Curtis S.E, Simon J, Norton H.W., 1980. Effects of aerial ammonia on growth and health of young pigs. *J AnimSci* 50(6):1085 - 1091.
14. Drummond J.G, Curtis S.E, Simon J, Norton H.W., 1981b. Effects of atmospheric ammonia on young pigs experimentally infected with *Ascaris suum*. *Am J Vet Res* 42(6):969 - 974.
15. Drummond J.G, Curtis S.E, Simon J., 1978. Effects of atmospheric ammonia on pulmonary bacterial clearance in the young pig. *Am J Vet Res*. 39:211 - 212.
16. Gurk S, Brunsch R, Kaufman O., 1997. Systematic analysis of trace gases in dairy cow housing. *Landtechnik* 52: 206-207.
17. Hamilton T.D.C, Roe J.M, Webster A.F., 1996. The synergistic role of gaseous ammonia in the aetiology of *Pasteurella multocida* induced atrophic rhinitis in swine. *J ClinMicrobiol*

- 43:2185 – 2190.
18. Hauser R.H, Folsch D.W. 1988. Methods for measuring atmospheric ammonia in poultry houses: review and approved practices. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 35: 579 – 593.
  19. Hoff, S.J., Bundy, D.S., Nelson, M.A., Zelle, B.C., Jacobson, L.D., Heber, A.J., Ni, J.-Q., Jones JB, Wathes CM, Webster AJF.,1997. Behavioral responses of pigs to atmospheric ammonia. In *Livestock Environment V*, vol II, ed. By RW Bottcher and SJ Hoff. American Society of agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, Michigan. P. 875 – 882.
  20. Innes, J., 1936. Dust disease–pulmonary lesions and coughing in pigs. *Vet. Rec*, 49, pp.1473-1475.
  21. Kesselmeier, J., Kuhn, U., Wolf, A., Andreae, M.O., Ciccioli, P., Brancaleoni, E., Frattoni, M., Guenther, A., Greenberg, J., Vasconcellos, P.D.C. and de Oliva, T., 2000. Atmospheric volatile organic compounds (VOC) at a remote tropical forest site in central Amazonia. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(24), pp.4063-4072.
  22. Klentz, R.D. and Fedde, M.R., 1978. Hydrogen sulfide: effects on avian respiratory control and intrapulmonary CO<sub>2</sub> receptors. *Respiration physiology*, 32(3), pp.355-367.
  23. Koerkamp PWGG, Metz JHM, Uenk GH, Phillips VR, Holden MR, Sneath RW, Short JL, White RP, Hartung J, Seedorf J, Schroder M, Linkert KH, Pedersen S, Takai H, Johnson JO, Wathes CM. 1998. Concentrations and emissions of ammonia in livestock buildings in Northern Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*. 70:79-95.
  24. Lee, C., Giles, L.R., Bryden, W.L., Downing, J.L., Owens, P.C., Kirby, A.C., Wynn, P.C., 2005. Performance and endocrine responses of group housed weaner pigs exposed to the air quality of a commercial environment. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 93 (3), 255–262.
  25. Lillie R.J. 1972. Air Pollutants Affecting the Performance of Domestic Animals. *Agricultural Handbook No. 380*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
  26. Lillie, L.E. and Thomson, R.G., 1972. The pulmonary clearance of bacteria by calves and mice. *Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine*, 36(2), p.129.
  27. Maghirang, R.G., Puma, M.C., Liu, Y. and Clark, P., 1997. Dust concentrations and particle size distribution in an enclosed swine nursery. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 40(3), pp.749-754.
  28. Marschang, F., 1973. Review: ammonia, losses, and production in large animal stables. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr*, 80, pp.73-120.
  29. Nagaraja, K.V., Emery, D.A., Jordan, K.A., Newman, J.A. and Pomeroy, B.S., 1983. Scanning electron microscopic studies of adverse effects of ammonia on tracheal tissues of turkeys. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, 44(8), pp.1530-1536.
  30. Nagaraja, K.V., Emery, D.A., Jordan, K.A., Sivanandan, V., Newman, J.A. and Pomeroy, B.S., 1984. Effect of ammonia on the quantitative clearance of *Escherichia coli* from lungs, air sacs, and livers of turkeys aerosol vaccinated against *Escherichia coli*. *American journal of veterinary research*, 45(2), pp.392-395.
  31. O'donoghue, J.G., 1961. Hydrogen sulphide in swine. *Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine and Veterinary Science*, 25(9), p.217-219.
  32. Peterson, W.H., Thomas, R.W. and Anderson, R.F., 1949. Yellow gas from corn silage. *Hoard's Dairyman*, 94(Dec. 10), pp.870-871.
  33. Sayers, R.R., Mitchell, C.W. and Yant, W.P., 1923. Hydrogen sulfide as an industrial poison (Vol. 2491). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
  34. Shen, D., Wu, S., Li, Z.J., Tang, Q., Dai, P.Y., Li, Y.S., Li, C.M., 2019. Distribution and size distribution in an enclosed swine nursery. *Trans. ASAE* 40 (3), 749–754.
  35. Singh, D.N., Kumar Ajay, Singh, Y., Mamta & Sirohi, R. (2017). Nutritional Management of the Transition cow for optimum Health & Production. *Journal of Animal feed & Technology*. Vol. 5(1) pp 25-30.
  36. Urbain B, Gustin P, Prouvost JF, Ansay M. 1994. Quantitative assessment of aerial ammonia toxicity to the nasal mucosa by the use of the nasal gavage method in pigs. *Am J Vet Res* 55:1335 – 1340.
  37. USEPA. 2010. Particulate matter. <http://www.epa.gov/p>
  38. Veit, H.P., Kornegay, E.T. and Collins, E.R., 1985. Air quality, pig health and performance in triple deck nurseries. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 28(4), pp.1259-1264.
  39. Wathes CM, Phillips VR, Holden MR, Sneath RW, Short JL, White RP, Hartung J, Seedorf J, Schroder M, Caspary V, Linke S. 1998. Emissions of aerial pollutants in livestock buildings in Northern Europe: Overview of a multinational project. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*. 70:3-9.
  40. Wei, F.X., Hu, X.F., Xu, B., Zhang, M.H., Li, S.Y., Sun, Q.Y., Lin, P., 2015. Ammonia concentration and relative humidity in poultry houses affect the immune response of broilers. *Genet. Mol.*

- Res. 14 (2), 3160-3169.
41. White, E.G., 1940. Aspiration of Plant Material by Young Calves and the Resulting Tissue Changes in the Lungs. *Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology*, 51, pp.458-460.
42. Zhang, Y.H., Koziel, J.A., Beasley, D.B., 2006. Emissions of ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, and odor before, during and after slurry removal from a deep-pit swine finisher. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* 56 (5), 581-590.

