

Role of Conventional Suction Epidermal Bullae Grafting in Management of Non-Healing Ulcer: Case Report

Nishad. K¹, Neljo Thomas², Ravi Kumar Chittoria³,
Barath Kumar Singh⁴, Jacob Antony Chakioth⁵

How to cite this article:

Nishad. K, Neljo Thomas, Ravi Kumar Chittoria, *et.al.*/Role of Conventional Suction Epidermal Bullae Grafting in management of Non-Healing Ulcer: Case Report/Journal of Global Medical Education and Research/2023;6 (1):19-22.

Abstract

Ulcer is a discontinuity in the skin. It may be acute or chronic. Chronic wounds are associated with difficulty in healing and prolonged morbidity for the patient. There are different methods of wound coverage including flap coverage, skin grafting, temporary substitutes for dressing etc. In this article we have used SEBG for healing of wound and have found it to be useful.

Keywords: Conventional; Epidermal; Suction; Bullae; Grafting.

INTRODUCTION

Non healing ulcers are a common challenge for the plastic surgeon. There is a delay in wound healing due to various factors including presence of foreign material, lack of growth factors, lack of nutrition, underlying infection etc. and coverage can be given to such chronic wound after adequate wound bed preparation (WBP) by using skin grafts. There are different types of skin grafts including split thickness skin grafts, full thickness skin grafts, meek grafting, pixel grafting. STSGs needs use of an anesthesia and an operating room, as there is significant amount of pain during harvesting the graft, as the dermatome harvester takes the graft

in the plane between the papillary (superficial) and reticular (deep) dermis, exposing the sensory nerves and dermal appendages which are essential for healing of donor site but causes post operative pain. In addition, healing is often delayed by donor site bleeding, infection, and pruritis.¹

Epidermal skin grafting is used when epidermis is needed for superficial wounds. As the epidermal skin layer alone is harvested from the donor site, it avoids many of these donor site complications, doesn't need an operating theatre. In this article we share our experience in using conventional SEBG for treatment of non-healing ulcer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the department of Plastic Surgery at tertiary care center after getting the departmental ethical committee approval. Informed written consent was taken from the patient. The details of the patient in study are as follows: 37 year old female with no known co morbidities with h/o road traffic accident 4 months back and underwent right below knee amputation due to vascular injury and degloving injury of the left lower limb for which serial debridement was done in cardiothoracic and general surgery department. Now, the patient presented to plastic

Author Affiliation: ^{1,2,4,5}Senior Resident, ³Professor, Department of Plastic Surgery, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Pondicherry 605006, India.

Corresponding Author: Ravi Kumar Chittoria, Professor, Department of Plastic Surgery, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Pondicherry 605006, India.

E-mail: drchittoria@yahoo.com

Received on: 13.12.2022

Accepted on: 15.01.2023

surgery department with extensive raw area over the left lower limb and non-healing ulcer over the right below knee amputation stump.

The regular dressing and skin grafting could not lead to wound healing and had left many raw areas which did not heal completely.

The SEBG was raised by attaching the rear end of 50 cc syringe (fig. 1) after removing the plunger to skin and attaching the needle hub to a suction of

about 300-500 mm Hg via the suction apparatus. The suction is applied for about 2 to 3 hours for raising a bleb (fig. 2) and the epidermis can be separated from the bleb created using a blade or scissors (fig. 3). The epidermal graft is placed on the ulcer (fig. 4) after debridement and dressings given. The donor area and the recipient area are dressed. Repeat dressings are done on post-operative day 5 and on the subsequent alternated days till 4 dressings.




Fig. 1: Negative Pressure Applied Using 50 ml Syringe



Fig. 2: Suction Epidermal Bleb Raised



Fig. 3: Suction Epidermal Bullae Graft Excised



Fig. 4: SEBG applied to raw area

RESULTS

There was good wound healing of the recipient areas (fig. 5) of the wound along with good healing of the donor areas. (fig. 6).



Fig. 5: Healed Raw Area



Fig. 6: Well healed donor area

DISCUSSION

Epidermal grafting using suction blisters method in human skin was introduced by Kiistala and Mustakallio¹ in 1964, and used by Falabella² to treat the vitiligo. Autologous suction blister epidermal grafting (SBEG) has been used for treatment of recalcitrant, vitiligo and other secondary leucodermas, difficult to heal wounds, including burns and lower limb ulcers.³⁻⁷ Since the dermis of the donor site is not damaged scarring does not develop although variable loss of pigmentation can occur. The upper thigh is the recommended site. As the dermal plexus is left undisturbed, bleeding does not occur so it is useful in patients on anticoagulants.

Suction blister epidermal grafting techniques cause division through the epidermis at the lamina lucida, and irregular hemidesmosome disruption as well as the formation of cytoplasmic vacuoles within keratinocytes.⁸ The basic structure of the epidermis remains and the dermis remains unchanged.⁸ Success depends upon separation at the dermalepidermal (DE) junction and transfer of the entire, intact basal layer to the recipient site.

The exact mechanisms of epidermal graft take is not known. Costanzo *et al*⁶ suggested starting of epithelialization from the edge of the ulcer as the main method. Other authors⁹⁻¹¹ suggested that epidermal grafts does not “take” to the underlying granulation tissue, but, reepithelialisation occur from wound edges. Costanzo *et al*⁶ opined this edge effect could be taking place by growth factors from grafted keratinocytes. A recent study of in vitro examination of the epidermal grafts obtained using the epidermal harvesting system has shown that migratory basal layer keratinocytes and melanocytes are proliferative in vivo. Study of intact microdome roofs derived from healthy human demonstrated viable basal cells produced key growth factors which are important for controlling wound healing responses, which include vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factor alpha (TGF-alpha).¹³

CONCLUSION

Conventional suction epidermal bullae grafting

can be used as a method for harvesting epidermal grafts that are used in treatment of non-healing ulcers with minimal donor site morbidity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors' contributions

All authors made contributions to the article

Availability of data and materials

REFERENCES

1. Kiistala U, Mustakallio KK. In-vivo separation of epidermis by production of suction blisters. *Lancet*. 1964;2(7348):1444-1445.
2. Falabella R. Epidermal grafting. An original technique and its application in achromic and granulating areas. *Arch Dermatol*. 1971;104(6):592-600.
3. Patel NS, Paghdal KV, Cohen GF. Advanced treatment modalities for vitiligo [published online ahead of print Jan 30, 2012]. *Dermatol Surg*. 2012;38(3):381-391.
4. Njoo MD, Westerhof W, Bos JD, Bossuyt PM. A systematic review of autologous transplantation methods in vitiligo. *Arch Dermatol*. 1998;134(12):1543-1549.
5. Hanafusa T, Yamaguchi Y, Katayama I. Intractable wounds caused by arteriosclerosis obliterans with end-stage renal disease treated by aggressive debridement and epidermal grafting. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2007;57(2):322-326.
6. Costanzo U, Streit M, Braathen LR. Autologous suction blister grafting for chronic leg ulcers. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2008;22(1):7-10.
7. Ichiki Y, Kitajima Y. Successful treatment of scleroderma-related cutaneous ulcer with suction blister grafting [published online ahead of print Aug 8, 2007]. *Rheumatol Int*. 2008;28(3):299-301.
8. Willsteed EM, Bhogal BS, Das A, *et al*. An ultrastructural comparison of dermo-epidermal separation techniques. *J Cutan Pathol*. 1991;18(1):8-12.
9. Serena T, Francius A, Taylor C, Macdonald J. Use of a novel epidermal harvesting system in resource-poor countries. *Adv Skin Wound Care*. 2015;28(3):107-112.
10. Gabriel A, Sobota RV, Champaneria M. Initial experience with a new epidermal harvesting system: overview of epidermal grafting and case series. *Surg Technol Int*. 2014;25:55-61.
11. Richmond NA, Lamel SA, Braun LR, Vivas AC, Serena T, Kirsner RS. Epidermal grafting using a novel suction blister-harvesting system for the treatment of pyoderma gangrenosum. *JAMA*

Dermatol. 2014;150(9):999-1000.

12. Osborne SN, Schmidt MA, Derrick K, Harper JR. Epidermal micrografts produced via an automated and minimally invasive tool form at the dermal/

epidermal junction and contain proliferative cells that secrete wound healing growth factors. *Adv Skin Wound Care.* 2015;28(9):397-405.

