

## Steriolithography (Rapid Prototype): An Useful Tool in Mandible and Maxilla Reconstruction

Akshata J.S.\*, Manjunath K.N.\*\* , Amresh Baliarsing\*\*\*

---

### Abstract

**Purpose:** To study the effectiveness of Steriolithography rapid prototype model in Mandible and maxilla reconstruction.

**Methods and Materials:** We present here our case series of 6 patients having maxilla or mandible reconstruction. These patients are reconstructed with free fibula graft which has been moulded at the donor site itself.

**Results:** Reconstruction of these bones with free fibula is quite effective. The amount of graft required could be determined preoperatively. The operative time was reduced significantly.

**Conclusion:** Simulation of surgery using rapid prototype model helps to predetermine the amount of graft required, reduces the operative time and also preoperatively determines the shape of reconstructed bone.

**Keywords:** Steriolithography; Mandible and maxilla reconstruction; Free fibula.

---

### Introduction

Reconstructions of complex mandibular & maxillary defects have been achieved with autografts[1,2,3] or prosthetic maxillary obturators[4] and reconstruction plates in case of mandible. A prosthetic maxillary obturator is an alternative for maxillary defect reconstruction. Although acceptable results can eventually be achieved in many cases, patients may become dissatisfied, because the removable prosthesis lacks sufficient retentiveness for adequate speech, swallowing, and acceptable esthetic appearance. Bone grafts have become the common method used in maxillofacial Surgery and are the more

retentive structure for replacement. But use of a bone graft increases length of surgery as the defect is estimated preop and the moulding of the graft is done preoperatively.[5] Conventional CT and MRI scans are standardized and are important diagnostic tools for assessing the extent of tumour resection.[6,7] The reconstructed 3D data from the CT can be transferred in the operating room to accurately determine the resection margins of the tumour, simplifying the surgical procedure[8] however, tumour resection or defect reconstruction based on 3D imaging modalities presents difficulties in defining the resection plane with sufficient accuracy. On the other hand, stereolithographic models are more concrete, allowing the surgeon to actually simulate the surgical procedure or even to generate patient-specific templates that can be used in the surgery of the tumour, simplifying the surgical procedure.[9] As the result of the development of modern design and manufacturing technology, a customized surgical resection template that matches skeletal anatomy can now be accurately designed.[10,11,12] Using a CAD technique the physical model of the individual template or skull replica can be produced through rapid prototyping (RP), rapid tooling (RT), and

---

**Author's Affiliation:** \*Asst. Prof., Dept. of Pulmonary Medicine, SDSTBRC & RGICD, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, \*\*Asst. Prof., Dept. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, MSRMC, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, \*\*\*Prof. & HOD, Dept. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, TNMC & Nair Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

**Reprints Requests:** Dr. Manjunath K.N., MS, DNB, Mch, Asst. Prof., Dept. of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, MSRMC, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

E-mail: drknmanjunath@gmail.com

(Received on 04.12.2012, Accepted on 14.02.2013)

computer aided manufacturing/computer numerical control (CAM/CNC) processes.[13,14] The RP model facilitates surgical simulation and planning.[15] Such surgical simulation helps us to drastically reduce the operative time as the defect and the amount of bone graft required is determined and is given shape even before the actual surgery starts. We present here few of our cases where the RP model was a useful tool in planning and execution of reconstruction of maxilla and mandible.

## Materials and Methods

### Case 1

This young lady was operated previously for ameloblastoma of the right hemimandible. Patient was planned for secondary reconstruction using free fibula graft. 3D CT scan was done and based on that RP model prepared. Preoperatively the exact requirement of the graft could be made out. The implant for fixation was moulded even before the surgery started. So, the surgery consisted of harvesting the graft and fitting it in place. This RP model helped us to reduce the surgical time. (Fig 1, 2, 3,)

### Case 2

In this case the preop RP model helped us

**Figure 1: Preop-OPG showing right hemimandibular defect**



**Figure 2: Pre op preparation of the Steriolithography model of the deficient mandible**



to simulate operation, the exact site of excision of the lesion could be predetermining precise requirement of the graft and shaping of the graft were other advantages.

### Case 3

This 11 yr old boy was suffering from histiocytosis X, resorption of both mandible and maxilla occurred leaving a thin strip of cortical bone, patient was planned for reconstruction using free fibula graft now and Osseo integrated implant at a later date. The RP model was use to preoperatively determine the exact site of osteotomy, the amount of graft required and preop moulding of the implant.

## Discussion

**Figure 3: Moulding of the Fibular graft preoperatively at the donor site**



The maxilla and mandible serve as the functional and aesthetic keystones of the mid and lower face. Defects in the palatomaxillary complex can lead to devastating functional and cosmetic consequences.[16] Multiple reconstructive techniques, such as autologous tissue transfers and alloplastic materials, have been available for many years, but reconstruction of extensive defects with the use of alloplastic materials, such as reconstruction plates, has several risks, including plate exposure, plate and screw fracture, screw loosening, infection, and limited aesthetic and functional restoration. Until now most implants have been manually shaped intraoperatively on the surgical site. Intraoperative adaptation of the implant is a difficult task, however, due to lack of visualization of the facial anatomy, with the result that an undesirable shape can be obtained when use of complex 3D contouring is required.[17] Furthermore, intraoperative modelling is time-consuming and reduces accuracy, often leading to more invasive surgery, and impairing aesthetic results. The use of a CAD/CAM system is an adequate method for the design and manufacture of very complex 3D models or shapes that are difficult, but not impossible. As the majority of tumour-related maxilla and mandible deformities are unilateral, CAD using RP technologies is an effective technique for generating a precise shape for re-establishing symmetry and an individual template for tumour resection. Creating the 3D model of bone structures extracted from CT image data allows not only for prosthesis design, but also provides very good visualization of the defect for preoperative surgical evaluation and planning. The preoperative preparation, symmetry, and precise fit implant evaluation can be performed much more easily on the physical model generated by RP. As a consequence, the operating time is reduced, and potential intraoperative errors can be modified preoperatively and thus avoided during the actual surgery. The cases presented demonstrate the efficacy and accuracy of using combined technologies of 3D-CT data and a Stereolithography-produced model for

tumour resection guidance and defect reconstruction. One drawback of this approach is that it depends on preoperative CT imaging and, therefore exposes the patient to high radiation exposure, [9] and high expenses incurred for the preparation of the model.

## Conclusion

We can conclude that CT imaging, RP, and computer modelling have improved the surgical planning and help achieving efficient immediate reconstruction. In our clinical cases, a computer generated model preoperatively represents the anatomic defect, which is helpful in determining the accurate requirement of graft before harvesting. The plate for fixation can also be mould preoperatively. The use of these techniques leads to reduced operating time, fewer surgical errors, more precise fit, and high stability after screw fixation. Other advantages include simplification of the surgical procedure; the method also permits testing the bone graft fit before the actual surgery, and determination of accurate positioning of the graft. So, the actual surgery consists only of defining the defect and placement and fixation of the bone graft. But the use of such models is limited due to the high costs involved in preparation.

## References

1. Ostric SA, Martin WJ, Stock C, *et al*. The model graft: reconstruction of the maxilla using a fibular bone graft template. *J Craniofac Surg*. 2006; 17:145-147.
2. Peng X, Mao C, Yu GY, *et al*. Maxillary reconstruction with the free fibula flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2005; 115: 1562-1569.
3. Pryor SG, Moore EJ, Kasperbauer JL. Orbital exenteration reconstruction with rectus abdominis microvascular free flap. *Laryngoscope*. 2005; 115: 1912-1916.
4. Uckan S, Oguz Y, Uyar Y, *et al*. Reconstruction of a total maxillectomy defect with a zygomatic implant-retained obturator. *J Craniofac Surg*.

- 2005; 16: 485-489.
5. Okura M, Isomura ET, Iida S, *et al*. Long-term outcome and factors influencing bridging plates for mandibular reconstruction. *Oral Oncol*. 2005; 41: 791-798.
  6. Gagliardi G, Bayar S, Smith R, *et al*. Preoperative staging of rectal cancer using magnetic resonance imaging with external phase-arrayed coils. *Arch Surg*. 2002; 137: 447-451.
  7. Kahler DM, Zura RD, Mallik K. Computer guided placement of iliosacral screws compared to standard fluoroscopic technique. *5th Symposium on CAOS Computer Assisted Orthopaedic Surgery*. Davos, Switzerland; 2002.
  8. Bettega G, Dessenne V, Cinquin P, *et al*. Computer assisted mandibular condyle positioning in orthognatic surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1996; 54: 553-558.
  9. Sekou Singare, PhD, Yaxiong Liu, PhD, Dichen Li, PhD, Bingheng Lu, PhD, Jue Wang, PhD, Sanhu He. Individually Prefabricated Prosthesis for Maxilla reconstruction. *Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2007; 1-6.
  10. Dean D, Min KJ, Bond A. Computer aided design of large-format prefabricated cranial plates. *J Craniofac Surg*. 2003; 14: 819-832.
  11. Singare S, Dichen L, Bingheng L, *et al*. Design and fabrication of custom mandible titanium tray based on rapid prototyping. *Med Eng Phys*. 2004; 26: 671-676.
  12. Singare S, Dichen L, Bingheng L, *et al*. Customized design and manufacturing of chin implant based on rapid prototyping. *Rapid Prototyping J*. 2005; 11: 113-118.
  13. Barker TM, Earwaker WJ, Frost N, *et al*. Integration of 3-D medical imaging and rapid prototyping to create stereolithographic models. *Aust Phys Eng Sci Med*. 1993; 16: 79-85.
  14. Winder J, Bibb R. Medical rapid prototyping technologies: state of the art and current limitations for application in oral and maxillofacial surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2005; 63: 1006-1015.
  15. Abe M, Tabuchi K, Goto M, *et al*. Model-based surgical planning and simulation of cranial base surgery. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. 1998; 38: 746-751.
  16. Genden EM, Wallace D, Buchbinder D, *et al*. Iliac crest internal oblique osteomusculocutaneous free flap reconstruction of the postablative palatomaxillary defect. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2001; 127: 854-861.
  17. Muller A, Krishnan KG, Uhl E, *et al*. The application of rapid prototyping techniques in cranial reconstruction and preoperative planning in neurosurgery. *J Craniofac Surg*. 2003; 14: 899-9.
- 

**Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd,**

***CAPTURE YOUR MARKET***

**For advertising in this journal**

**Please contact:**

**International print and online display advertising sales**

E-mail: redflowerppl@vsnl.net / tel: +91 11 22754205

**Recruitment and Classified Advertising**

E-mail: redflowerppl@vsnl.net / tel: +91 11 22754205